

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/738,910		12/16/2003	Irving I. Dardik	ET-2	1393
1473	7590	04/24/2006		EXAMINER	
		IP GROUP	MCNELIS, KATHLEEN A		
ROPES & 1251 AVE		LLP FTHE AMERICAS I	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YO	RK, NY	10020-1105	1742		
		DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		er
 YS,		
ation.		
auon.		
s is		
21(d). 2.		
2.		
ł.		
	-	

	Application No.	Applicant/s)						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summer	10/738,910	DARDIK ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Kathleen A. McNelis	1742						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the maximum state of	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	December 2003.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Claims Status

Page 2

Claims 1-31 are presented for examination.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-4, drawn to a process for controlling the crystalline structure of ingots and castings, classified in class 148, subclass 108.
- II. Claims 5-7 and 31, drawn to a process for purification and alloy addition, classified in class 75, subclass 10.13.
- III. Claims 8-12, drawn to a facility for purification of a ferrous metal melt, classified in class 266, subclass 242.
- IV. Claims 13-15, drawn to a method for melt stirring in an induction furnace, classified in class 75, subclass 10.16.
- Claims 16-20, drawn to an induction furnace, classified in class 373, subclass138.
- VI. Claims 21 and 22, drawn to a melting chamber in an electric arc furnace, classified in class 373, subclass 71.
- VII. Claim 23, drawn to a reverberatory furnace, classified in class 266, subclass 172.
- VIII. Claims 24-30, drawn to a process for using helically traveling magnetic fields, classified in class 75, subclass 10.67.

Application/Control Number: 10/738,910

Art Unit: 1742

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as producing alloy steel. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III, V, VI, and VII are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the furnaces/facilities/melting chambers in groups III, V, VI and VII can be used for heating and/or melting without crystallization in helically traveling magnetic fields.

Inventions IV and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed (Group IV) does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the melt stirring can be used without controlling the crystallization. The subcombination has separate utility such as modification of crystal structure during or after crystallization from the melt.

Inventions I and VIII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed

does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed (Group I) does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because crystalline structure can be controlled by modulations other than those disclosed in claims 24-30. The subcombination has separate utility such as influencing structure of materials other than ingots and castings of ferrous and non-ferrous metals.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus disclosed in claim III can be used for melting metal without purification and/or alloying addition.

Inventions II and IV-VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, Invention II is a method for purification or alloy addition to an out-of-furnace metal while Invention IV is a method of stirring an induction furnace, invention V is an induction furnace, invention VI is the melting chamber of an electric arc furnace and Invention VII is a reverberatory furance.

Inventions II and VIII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed

does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because metals can be purified and alloyed by other methods including gas blowing. The subcombination has separate utility such as controlling crystalline structure.

Inventions III and IV - VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, Invention III is a facility for out-of-furnace purification of ferrous melts while invention IV is a method for stirring an induction furnace, invention V is an induction furnace, invention VI is the melting chamber of an electric arc furnace and Invention VII is a reverberatory furance.

Inventions III and VIII are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because metals can be purified and alloyed by other methods including gas blowing. The subcombination has separate utility such as controlling crystalline structure.

Inventions IV and V-VII are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the furnaces can be stirred by another means (i.e. gas blowing) and induction stirring can be used with different types of furnaces.

Inventions IV and VIII are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because metals can be stirred by other methods including gas blowing. The subcombination has separate utility such as controlling crystalline structure.

Inventions V, VI and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions represent different types of furnaces having different modes of operation (V = induction furnace, VI = melting chamber from arc furnace and VII = reverberatory furnace for melting aluminum). Induction furnaces use coils to heat metal using alternating current and flux reacts with impurities. In arc furnaces carbon

electrodes melt metal scrap and in reverberatory furnaces non-ferrous metal is melted in batches in a crucible held over an electric heater.

Inventions VIII and V, VI and VII are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the furnaces disclosed in V, VI and VII can be used for melting, purifying and refining metals without the helically traveling magnetic fields disclosed in invention VII.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

Application/Control Number: 10/738,910 Page 8

Art Unit: 1742

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathleen A. McNelis whose telephone number is 571-272-3554. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROY KING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNICLOGY CENTER 1700